## Question **Transnet Response** I want to ask as a clarification question if the Geotech includes, test pitting, lab testing and drilling. In the RFP document, Part 3: Scope of Services the Geotechnical Consultant's Scope of Services (SOS) are This is currently a Lump sum item, can this be a Provisional Sum (PS) or Prime Cost (PC) in order for us detailed in section 6. Project Scope, paragraph 6.1.13 to price comparatively because someone might price drilling whereas someone prices for test pits. and specifically states "the fieldwork (at the discretion Which is a pricing risk for us bidders. of the Consultant)". The Consultant will be (professionally) responsible for the preparation of the GEOTECHNICAL 24 L/Sum Geotechnical Investigation and Design Report(s), and Comprehensive Geotechnical Studies including Field Geotechnical drilling, laboratory analysis and borrow pit any details regarding the techniques to be identification and licensing to inform all structural elements forming the scope of the project. used/preferred for investigation purposes are not Conduct Hydrology and Hydraulics investigations at Feasibility & Bankable Feasibility level prescribed by Transnet. That is for the Consultant to determine and due diligence must be undertaken to Material Sites (Borrow sources, Quarries) & Licenses Required ensure the required geotechnical deliverables are met. Material Sourcing The Geotechnical L/Sum will suffice; as the Provisional Sum (PS) or Prime Cost (PC) might be riskier. Geotechnical Studies (Detailed geological investigations inclusive of test pits at all loop locations) Culverts and Underpass Loop Extension We request a time extension. The complexity and detailed required is such that the current closing date Internal processes on-going, update will be provided is very tight in regards to submission of a practical and sensible bid. Kindly extend to 28 February 2023. once a decision has been made. Can you clarify the performance bond minimum value? The CIDB SFU does not specify the minimum value for the Performance Bond, see extract below: • 4.4.3.3 Guarantees required in engineering and construction contracts shall not substantially differ from the samples provided by the drafters of the forms of contract listed in paragraph (4.4.3.1a) or the form as provided in the contract. Such guarantees shall in the case of a

Question	Transnet Response
	fixed guarantee not exceed 10% of the contract price or, in the case of a variable guarantee not exceed 12, 5%, and shall be stated in the contract data. Bidders to refer to Page 104 of 187 of RFP (section X13.1)
What is expected for rail and yard simulations? Was the operational concept not confirmed during PFS, or will the selected loop and yard placement and configuration be changed during FS&BFS?	The yard operational concept was done and proposed at PFS level. Therefore, the go forward conceptional option needs to be validated during this phase of the works. If any fatal flaws are identified, then the bidders responsibility is to solve and mitigate to move the solution forward to feasibility and bankable feasibility.
In terms of the business case, what level of design and implementation will be the responsibility of the future PPP partner? I.e., how much of the design work will be functional design and specifications instead of detailed design by Transnet?	For 100% AFC designs as per scope of works, the future PPP will be expected to validate detailed designs (and make changes where required), review and approve shop drawings, method statement, resolve FEQs and supervise/oversee construction, signoff and take accountability of designs.
	Signalling (TAS, CAS), Telecontrol and Telecoms engineering and design ready for Tender, due to the <i>Contractor</i> being responsible for most of (about 70%) the detail design for those elements.
	Any future PPP partner that will be co-opted would be exclusively a ROSCO for the supply of rolling stock via a lease agreement to a Train Operating Company (TOC) that will operate the haulage service for the product OEM on the Transnet rail network as part of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> party access model.

final gove of the the communication of the project. Please confirm that financial close and secure the funding to execute the implementation of the project. Please confirm that financial close and funding applications are excluded from the scope  The RFP mentions that "the ultimate outcome is to achieve financial close and secure the funding to execute the implementation of the project. Please confirm that financial close and funding applications are excluded from the scope  to the project. Please confirm that financial close and funding all the total communications are excluded from the scope.	eration of the hard network infrastructure, the ancing and funding would be via a possible vernment grant. It is Transnet's intent on completion the bankable feasibility study to issue a contract to a market for construction execution and mmissioning together with any specialist turn key sign.  ages 3 -4 (documents should be 100% ready for instruction)  ditional services envisaged at this stage:  am; 4, 6, 8, 9 and 24 of ECSA guidelines  would be responsibility of the consultant to provide the components and information for the application the BFI to secure the funding for the infrastructure imponent from National Treasury on behalf of ansnet. This may entail the completion of the plication documentation and any necessary proporting documentation. It will also be the sponsibility of the consultant to optimise all of the immercial levers identified during PFS to arrive at a nkable commercial structure which will include the odelling of the infrastructure cost, the slot costs and ase, the rolling stock lease costs and the ultimate tariff fucture that will be levied to the automotive OEM. is is to also ensure that the commercial structure is able and bankable for any DFI or lending institute to an the funds to the different commercial parties with nimal risk and the ability to return a nominal profit to

Question	Transnet Response
Please confirm that geotechnical drilling, excavation and lab testing is excluded from the scope (refer to	The geotechnical drilling, excavation and lab testing
PDF page 169/170). And, if geotech is excluded, what level of design does "100% approved for	mentioned are methods/techniques used in the
construction" refer to?	fieldwork phase of the geotechnical investigation and is
	therefore potentially part of the Geotechnical
	Consultant's SOS.
	Again, the response given above also applies: In the RFP
	document, Part 3: Scope of Services the Geotechnical
	Consultant's Scope of Services (SOS) are detailed in
	section 6. Project Scope, paragraph 6.1.13 and
	specifically states "the fieldwork (at the discretion of the
	Consultant)"
Please confirm if alternative traction, rolling stock and train configurations/types need to be assessed,	Traction, rolling stock and train configuration forms part
or if those are already confirmed during PFS.	of the scope. The PFS identified conceptual numbers
	and configurations and as part of this scope, the
	consultant must validate the PFS work and optimise the
	fleet requirements as part of the proposed levers
	identified during the PFS and business case report.
Please circulate the documents listed on the PDF page 180.	At this point the documents are regarded as confidential
	and will provided to the successful bidder. However
	APPENDIX B forms part of the RFP document under part
	C2.2 Activity Schedule for Feasibility & Bankable
	Feasibility from page 116 to page 145. APPENDIX C is
	shown on the RFP document page 156.